

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,066	BURTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian R. Peugh	2187	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final

(1) Brian R. Peugh.

(3) _____.

(2) David J. McKenzie, Reg. No. 46,919.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

22

Claims discussed:

22&23

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Brian R. Peugh
 Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. McKenzie to inform him that there was a single rejected claim remaining. Mr. McKenzie, after consulted Applicant's, indicated the desire to include the limitations of claim 23 into claim 22. The Examiner indicated that such an action could be done via Examiner's Amendment..